

1
2
3
4
5
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
8 AT SEATTLE

9 JOHN ROBERT DEMOS, JR.,

10 Petitioner,

11 v.

12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF
13 SEATTLE, WASHINGTON,

14 Respondent.

15 CASE NO. C22-646-RSM-BAT

16 **REPORT AND
17 RECOMMENDATION**

18 Bar-order litigant John Demos has filed an application to proceed *in forma pauperis* with
19 a proposed habeas petition that is difficult to understand. Dkt. 1. Although he refers to himself as
20 “petitioner,” he appears to assert that the standing bar orders violate an act of Congress and,
21 therefore, he is asserting the original jurisdiction of the United States Supreme Court to provide
22 him with extraordinary relief. Dkt. 1-1, at 1–10. An Order of this Court provides for the return
23 without filing of any petition by Mr. Demos that seeks an extraordinary writ pursuant to 28 U.S.C.
24 §§ 1651, 2253 or 2254, unless accompanied by the filing fee. *See Demos v. Stanley*, MC97-0031-
JLW (W.D. Wash. Mar. 13, 1997).

25 As Mr. Demos has submitted no filing fee, the Court recommends that, pursuant to the
26 Court’s Order of March 13, 1997, the Clerk be **DIRECTED** to administratively close this matter
27 and to strike any pending motions as moot. Moreover, Mr. Demos may not proceed with a

1 second or successive habeas petition here unless and until the Ninth Circuit authorizes its filing.

2 See 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b)(3)(A). A proposed Order is attached. **The Clerk should note the**
3 **matter as ready for the District Judge's immediate consideration on May 23, 2022.**

4 DATED this 23rd day of May, 2022.

5
6 

7 BRIAN A. TSUCHIDA
8 United States Magistrate Judge

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23